



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# A binding number condition for graphs to be $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs <sup>☆</sup>

Sizhong Zhou <sup>a,\*</sup>, Jiashang Jiang <sup>a</sup>, Lan Xu <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Changji University, Changji, Xinjiang 831100, People's Republic of China

Received 1 April 2011; revised 4 January 2012; accepted 9 January 2012

Available online 24 January 2012

## KEYWORDS

Graph;  
Binding number;  
 $[a, b]$ -Factor;  
 $(a, b, k)$ -Critical graph

**Abstract** Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two even integers with  $2 \leq a < b$ , and let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Its binding number  $bind(G)$  is defined as follows,

$$bind(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G) \right\}.$$

In this paper, it is proved that  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph if  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$  and  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ . Furthermore, it is shown that the result in this paper is best possible in some sense.

© 2012 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.  
All rights reserved.

<sup>☆</sup> This research was supported by Natural Science Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Jiangsu Province (10KJB110003) and Jiangsu University of Science and Technology (2010SL101J, 2009SL154J), and was sponsored by Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zsz\_cumt@163.com (S. Zhou).

1319-5166 © 2012 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

doi:10.1016/j.ajmsc.2012.01.001



## 1. Introduction

The graphs considered here will be finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let  $G$  be a graph. We use  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  to denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively. For  $x \in V(G)$ , we denote by  $d_G(x)$  the degree of  $x$  in  $G$ , and by  $N_G(x)$  the set of vertices adjacent to  $x$  in  $G$ . The minimum vertex degree of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . For  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $N_G(S) = \cup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$ . For a nonempty subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  we denote by  $G[S]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ , and  $G - S = G[V(G) \setminus S]$  for a proper subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ . We say that  $S$  is independent if  $N_G(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ . The binding number  $bind(G)$  of  $G$  is defined by

$$bind(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G) \right\}.$$

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers with  $0 \leq a \leq b$ . An  $[a, b]$ -factor of a graph  $G$  is defined as a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$  for every vertex  $x$  of  $G$  (where of course  $d_F$  denotes the degree in  $F$ ). And if  $a = b = r$ , then an  $[a, b]$ -factor is called an  $r$ -factor. A graph  $G$  is called an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph if after deleting any  $k$  vertices of  $G$  the remaining graph of  $G$  has an  $[a, b]$ -factor. If  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph, then we also say that  $G$  is  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. If  $a = b = r$ , then an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph is simply called an  $(r, k)$ -critical graph. In particular, a  $(1, k)$ -critical graph is simply called a  $k$ -critical graph.

Many authors [1,2] investigated the graphs factors. Liu and Yu [6] studied the characterization of  $(r, n)$ -critical graphs. Liu and Wang [5] gave the characterization of  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs with  $a < b$ . Li [3,4] showed three sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs. Zhou [9,11,10] obtained some sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs. Liu and Liu [7] gave a binding number and minimum degree condition for a graph to be an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. The following result on  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs was proved by Zhou and Jiang in [11].

**Theorem 1 (11).** *Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be nonnegative integers with  $1 \leq a < b$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ , and suppose that*

$$bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn - (a+b) - bk + 2}.$$

*Then  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph.*

Zhou and Jiang [11] also showed that the condition  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn - (a+b) - bk + 2}$  in Theorem 1 can not be replaced by  $bind(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn - (a+b) - bk + 2}$ . For the proof of optimality (in this sense), they considered the case when  $a + b + k$  is odd and  $n = \frac{(a+b)(a+b-2) + (a+2b-1)k}{b}$  is an integer. Then they constructed a non  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph  $G$  with  $bind(G) = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn - (a+b) - bk + 2}$ . It is easy to see that in this case, either  $a$  and  $b$  are both odd, or  $a$  is even and  $b$  is odd. Thus, the question is:

Is the condition  $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+2}$  optimal in the other cases? (i.e. when  $(a$  and  $b$  are both even) or  $(a$  is odd and  $b$  is even)).

In this paper, we study this question when the integers  $a$  and  $b$  are both even. In this case, we improve our previous result and obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two even integers with  $2 \leq a < b$ , and let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ , and suppose that*

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}.$$

Then  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph.

If  $k = 0$  in Theorem 2, then we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two even integers with  $2 \leq a < b$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b}$ , and suppose that*

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)+3}.$$

Then  $G$  has an  $[a, b]$ -factor.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two positive integers with  $a < b$ , and let  $G$  be a graph. For any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , define

$$d_{G-S}(T) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x)$$

and

$$\delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T|,$$

where  $T = \{x: x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$ . In the following, we define

$$h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\}.$$

Obviously,  $0 \leq h \leq a-1$ .

Liu and Wang [5] proved the following result which is applied in the proof of the theorems.

**Lemma 2.1** [5]. *Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be nonnegative integers with  $1 \leq a < b$ , and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq a+k+1$ . Then  $G$  is  $(a, b, k)$ -critical if and only if for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| \geq k$*

$$\delta_G(S, T) \geq bk,$$

where  $T = \{x: x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [8] *Let  $c$  be a positive real, and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $\text{bind}(G) > c$ . Then  $\delta(G) > n - \frac{n-1}{c}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two even integers with  $2 \leq a < b$ , and let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . If  $\delta_G(S, T) \leq bk - 1$  for some  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , then  $|S| \leq \frac{(a-h)n + bk - 2}{a+b-h}$ .*

**Proof 1.** By the definition of  $h$  and the condition of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} bk - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \geq b|S| + h|T| - a|T| \\ &= b|S| - (a - h)|T|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$b|S| - (a - h)|T| - bk \leq -1. \quad (1)$$

**Case 1.**  $h$  is even.

In this case, the left-hand side of (1) is even, thus

$$b|S| - (a - h)|T| - bk \leq -2. \quad (2)$$

According to (2),  $0 \leq h \leq a - 1$  and  $|S| + |T| \leq n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bk - 2 &\geq b|S| - (a - h)|T| \geq b|S| - (a - h)(n - |S|) \\ &= (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h}.$$

**Case 2.**  $h$  is odd.

*Subcase 2.1.* There exists  $x \in T$  such that  $d_{G-S}(x) \geq h + 1$ . In this case, we get

$$d_{G-S}(T) \geq h|T| + 1. \quad (3)$$

In terms of (3),  $\delta_G(S, T) \leq bk - 1$ ,  $0 \leq h \leq a - 1$  and  $|S| + |T| \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
bk - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \geq b|S| + h|T| + 1 - a|T| \\
&= b|S| - (a - h)|T| + 1 \geq b|S| - (a - h)(n - |S|) + 1 \\
&= (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h}.$$

*Subcase 2.2.*  $V(G) \setminus (S \cup T) \neq \emptyset$ .

In this case, we obtain

$$|S| + |T| \leq n - 1. \quad (4)$$

From (1) and (4) and  $0 \leq h \leq a - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
bk - 1 &\geq b|S| - (a - h)|T| \geq b|S| - (a - h)(n - 1 - |S|) \\
&= (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n + (a - h) \\
&\geq (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h}.$$

*Subcase 2.3.*  $V(G) \setminus (S \cup T) = \emptyset$  and  $d_{G-S}(x) = h$  for each  $x \in T$ . In this case,  $d_{G[T]}(x) = h$  for each  $x \in T$ . Since  $h$  is odd,  $|T|$  is even. Thus, the left-hand side of (1) is even. Therefore, we obtain

$$bk - 2 \geq b|S| - (a - h)|T|.$$

Combining this with  $|S| + |T| = n$ , we have

$$bk - 2 \geq b|S| - (a - h)(n - |S|) = (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n,$$

that is,

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. The proof of Theorem 2

**Proof 2.** Let  $G$  be a graph satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2. We prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose that  $G$  is not an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  with  $|S| \geq k$  such that

$$\delta_G(S, T) \leq bk - 1, \quad (5)$$

where  $T = \{x: x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$ . Clearly,  $T \neq \emptyset$  by (5). Let  $h$  be as in Section 2, and  $0 \leq h \leq a - 1$ .

We shall consider various cases by the value of  $h$  and derive contradictions.

*Case 1.*  $h = 0$ . Let  $X = \{x: x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $N_G(V(G) \setminus S) \cap X = \emptyset$ . According to the definition of  $bind(G)$  and the condition of Theorem 2, we have

$$\frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn - (a+b) - bk + 3} < bind(G) \leq \frac{|N_G(V(G) \setminus S)|}{|V(G) \setminus S|} \leq \frac{n - |X|}{n - |S|}. \quad (6)$$

Now we prove the following claim.  $\square$

**Claim 1.**  $bn - (a+b) - bk + 2 > n - 1$ .

**Proof 3.** According to  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$  and  $2 \leq a < b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(bn - (a+b) - bk + 2 - (n-1)) &= b((b-1)n - (a+b) - bk + 3) \\ &\geq b(b-1) \left( \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1} \right) - b(a+b) - b^2k + 3b \\ &= (b-1)(a+b)(a+b-3) + b^2k - b(a+b) - b^2k + 3b \\ &= (b-1)(a+b)(a+b-3) - b(a+b-3) \\ &= (a+b-3)((b-1)(a+b) - b) > (a+b-3)((a+b) - b) = a(a+b-3) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$bn - (a+b) - bk + 2 > n - 1.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 1.  $\square$

In terms of (6),  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ ,  $|X| \geq 1$  and Claim 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b-1)(n-1)|S| &> (a+b-1)(n-1)n - (bn - (a+b) - bk + 3)n \\ &\quad + (bn - (a+b) - bk + 3)|X| = (a-1)(n-1)n + (a-2)n \\ &\quad + (bk-1)n + (bn - (a+b) - bk + 3)|X| \geq (a-1)(n-1)n \\ &\quad + (bk-1)n + (bn - (a+b) - bk + 3)|X| = (a-1)(n-1)n \\ &\quad + (bk-1)(n-1) + bk - 1 + (bn - (a+b) - bk + 3)|X| \\ &\geq (a-1)(n-1)n + (bk-1)(n-1) + (bn - (a+b) - bk + 2)|X| \\ &> (a-1)(n-1)n + (bk-1)(n-1) + (n-1)|X|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|S| > \frac{(a-1)n + bk - 1 + |X|}{a + b - 1}. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, by (5) and  $|S| + |T| \leq n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} bk - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \geq b|S| - (a-1)|T| - |X| \\ &\geq b|S| - (a-1)(n - |S|) - |X| = (a+b-1)|S| - (a-1)n - |X|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a-1)n + bk - 1 + |X|}{a + b - 1},$$

which contradicts (7).

*Case 2.*  $1 \leq h \leq a - 1$ .

According to Lemma 2.2 and the hypothesis of Theorem 2, we have

$$\delta(G) > n - \frac{bn - (a+b) - bk + 3}{a + b - 1} = \frac{(a-1)n + (a+b) + bk - 3}{a + b - 1}. \quad (8)$$

We choose  $x_1 \in T$  such that  $d_{G-S}(x_1) = h$ . Thus, we obtain

$$|S| + h = |S| + d_{G-S}(x_1) \geq d_G(x_1) \geq \delta(G).$$

Combining this with (8), we have

$$|S| \geq \delta(G) - h > \frac{(a-1)n + (a+b) + bk - 3}{a + b - 1} - h. \quad (9)$$

*Subcase 2.1.*  $h = 1$ . From (9), we get

$$|S| > \frac{(a-1)n + (a+b) + bk - 3}{a + b - 1} - 1 = \frac{(a-1)n + bk - 2}{a + b - 1},$$

which contradicts Lemma 2.3.

*Subcase 2.2.*  $2 \leq h \leq a - 1$ . In terms of Lemma 2.3 and (9), we obtain

$$\frac{(a-h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h} + h > \frac{(a-1)n + (a+b) + bk - 3}{a + b - 1}. \quad (10)$$

Set  $f(h) = \frac{(a-h)n + bk - 2}{a + b - h} + h$ . Using  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(h) &= \frac{(a+b-h)(-n) + (a-h)n + bk - 2}{(a+b-h)^2} + 1 = \frac{-bn + bk - 2}{(a+b-h)^2} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{-bn + bk - 2}{(a+b-2)^2} + 1 \leq \frac{-((a+b)(a+b-3) + bk) + bk - 2}{(a+b-2)^2} + 1 = -\frac{1}{a+b-2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$f(h) \leq f(2). \quad (11)$$

**Claim 2.**  $\frac{(a-2)n+bk-2}{a+b-2} + 2 \leq \frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1}$ .

**Proof 4.** According to  $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1}$  and  $2 \leq a < b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a+b-1)(a+b-2) \left( \frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1} - \frac{(a-2)n+bk-2}{a+b-2} - 2 \right) \\ &= (a+b-2)(a-1)n + (a+b-2)(a+b-3) + (a+b-2)bk \\ & \quad - (a+b-1)(a-2)n - (a+b-1)bk - 2(a+b-1)(a+b-3) \\ &= bn - (a+b)(a+b-3) - bk \geq b \left( \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)}{b} + \frac{bk}{b-1} \right) \\ & \quad - (a+b)(a+b-3) - bk = \frac{bk}{b-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{(a-2)n+bk-2}{a+b-2} + 2 \leq \frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1}.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 2.  $\square$

By Claim 2, we obtain

$$f(2) = \frac{(a-2)n+bk-2}{a+b-2} + 2 \leq \frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1}.$$

Combining this with (10) and (11), we get

$$\frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1} < f(h) \leq f(2) \leq \frac{(a-1)n+(a+b)+bk-3}{a+b-1}.$$

It is a contradiction.

From the argument above, we deduce the contradictions. Hence,  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let us show that the condition  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$  in Theorem 2 can not be replaced by  $bind(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$ . Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be three even integers such that  $2 \leq a < b$  and  $\frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)+(a+2b-1)k}{b}$  is an integer. We write  $n = \frac{(a+b)(a+b-3)+(a+2b-1)k}{b}$ ,  $l = \frac{a+b+k}{2} - 1$  and  $m = n - 2l = n - (a+b+k-2) =$



$\frac{(a-1)(a+b-2)-2+(a+b-1)k}{b}$ . Clearly,  $m, n, l$  are three positive integers. Let  $G = K_m \vee lK_2$ . Let  $X = V(lK_2)$ , then for any  $x \in X, |N_G(X \setminus \{x\})| = n - 1$ . By the definition of  $bind(G), bind(G) = \frac{|N_G(X \setminus \{x\})|}{|X \setminus \{x\}|} = \frac{n-1}{2l-1} = \frac{n-1}{a+b+k-3} = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$ . Let  $S = V(K_m), T = V(lK_2)$ , then  $|S| = m \geq k, |T| = 2l$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_G(S, T) &= b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) = b|S| - a|T| + |T| = b|S| - (a - 1)|T| \\ &= b \frac{(a - 1)(a + b - 2) - 2 + (a + b - 1)k}{b} - (a - 1)(a + b + k - 2) \\ &= bk - 2 < bk. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is not an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. In the above sense, the result of Theorem 2 is best possible.

**Remark 2.** Zhou and Jiang [11] proved Theorem 1, and showed that the condition  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+2}$  is sharp when either  $a$  and  $b$  are both odd, or  $a$  is even and  $b$  is odd. In this paper, we improve the binding number condition by  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$  when  $a$  and  $b$  are both even, and show that the condition in this case is sharp. Thus, we present the following problem:

Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be three nonnegative integers such that  $1 \leq a < b, a$  is odd and  $b$  is even. Suppose that  $n$  is sufficiently large for  $a, b$  and  $k$ , and  $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{bn-(a+b)-bk+3}$ . Then, whether a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  is  $(a, b, k)$ -critical or not?

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their very helpful and detailed comments in improving this paper.

### References

- [1] O. Fournounelli, P. Katerinis, The existence of  $k$ -factors in squares of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 310 (23) (2010) 3351–3358.
- [2] K. Kotani, Binding numbers of fractional  $k$ -deleted graphs, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences* 86 (4) (2010) 85–88.
- [3] J. Li, Sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, n)$ -critical graphs, *Mathematica Applicata (China)* 17 (2004) 450–455.
- [4] J. Li, A new degree condition for graph to have  $[a, b]$ -factor, *Discrete Mathematics* 290 (2005) 99–103.
- [5] G. Liu, J. Wang,  $(a, b, k)$ -Critical graphs, *Advances in Mathematics (China)* 27 (6) (1998) 536–540.
- [6] G. Liu, Q. Yu,  $k$ -Factors and extendability with prescribed components, *Congressus Numerantium* 139 (1999) 77–88.
- [7] H. Liu, G. Liu, Binding number and minimum degree for the existence of  $(g, f, n)$ -critical graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* 29 (1–2) (2009) 207–216.

- 
- [8] D.R. Woodall, The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B* 15 (1973) 225–255.
  - [9] S. Zhou, Independence number, connectivity and  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 309 (2009) 4144–4148.
  - [10] S. Zhou, A sufficient condition for a graph to be an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 87 (10) (2010) 2202–2211.
  - [11] S. Zhou, J. Jiang, Notes on the binding numbers for  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 76 (2) (2007) 307–314.