

## NOTES ON 4-DIMENSIONAL HYPER-PARA-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

TERUMASA NIHONYANAGI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove that a 4-dimensional hyper-para-Kähler structure is locally  $SO(3)$ -deformable to a hyper Kähler structure.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

An almost hypercomplex manifold is a quadruple  $M = (M; I, J, K)$ , where  $I, J$  and  $K$  are almost complex structures on a smooth manifold  $M$  satisfying  $K = IJ = -JI$ . It is well-known that an almost hypercomplex manifold is  $4m$ -dimensional and orientable. An almost hypercomplex manifold  $M = (M, I, J, K)$  equipped with a Riemannian metric  $g$  is called an almost hyperhermitian manifold if  $(I, g)$ ,  $(J, g)$  and  $(K, g)$  are simultaneously almost Hermitian structures on  $M$ , especially, if  $(I, g)$ ,  $(J, g)$  and  $(K, g)$  are simultaneously Kähler structures on  $M$ , then  $M = (M; I, J, K, g)$  is called a hyper-Kähler manifold. Concerning the integrability of almost hyperhermitian manifolds, the following results have been obtained ([2]).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Any hyper-quasi-Kähler manifold is a hyper-Kähler manifold.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Any  $4m$  ( $m \geq 2$ )-dimensional hyper-generalized locally conformal almost Kähler manifold is a locally conformal hyper-Kähler manifold.*

The above Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of the result by Hitchin ([1]). Any 4-dimensional almost hyperhermitian manifold is regarded as a hyper-generalized locally conformal almost Kähler manifold. Recently, we have proved

**Theorem 1.3.** ([3]) *Let  $(M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional compact almost hyperhermitian Einstein manifold. Then  $(M, I, J, K, g)$  is a Ricci-flat and*

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C26.

\*—Ricci-flat hyper-para-Kähler manifold. Furthermore,  $(M, g)$  is either flat or its universal covering is a hyper-Kähler K3 surface.

**Theorem 1.4.** ([3]) *Let  $M = (M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional compact hyperhermitian manifold with non-positive scalar curvature.. Then  $M$  is a hyper-Kähler manifold.*

In the present paper, we shall show that a 4-dimensional hyper-para-Kähler structure is locally  $SO(3)$ -deformable to a hyper Kähler structure. Namely, we shall prove the following.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $M = (M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional hyper-para-Kähler manifold. Then, for each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a local smooth  $SO(3)$ -valued function  $\Theta$  such that the almost hyperhermitian structure  $(\bar{I}, \bar{J}, \bar{K}, g)$  given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{I} \\ \bar{J} \\ \bar{K} \end{pmatrix} = \Theta \begin{pmatrix} I \\ J \\ K \end{pmatrix}$$

*is a hyper -Kähler structure near the point  $p$ .*

Taking account of the above Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.3, we have also the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M = (M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional compact simply-connected almost hyperhermitian Einstein manifold. Then,  $M$  is a hyper-Kähler manifold and, furthermore, isometric to a K3-surface with Ricci-flat metric, and  $(I, J, K, g)$  is globally  $SO(3)$ -deformable to a hyper-Kähler structure on a K3-surface in the sense of Theorem 1.5.*

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to professor K.Sekigawa for his valuable suggestions and comments.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We prepare some fundamental terminologies of a 4-dimensional almost hyperhermitian manifold. Let  $(M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional almost hyperhermitian manifold with almost Hermitian structures  $(I, g)$ ,  $(J, g)$  and  $(K, g)$  and we define  $\Omega_I$ ,  $\Omega_J$  and  $\Omega_K$  Kähler forms of  $M$  by  $\Omega_I(X, Y) = g(IX, Y)$ ,  $\Omega_J(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$  and  $\Omega_K(X, Y) = g(KX, Y)$ , for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , respectively ( $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  denotes the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields on  $M$ ). We assume that  $M$  is oriented by the volume form  $dM = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{I^2}$  (or  $\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{J^2}, \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{K^2}$ ). We denote by  $\nabla$  and  $R$  the Riemannian connection and the curvature tensor of  $M$ . The curvature tensor  $R$  is defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z) - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Now, for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we define  $\nabla_{XY}^2$  by  $\nabla_{XY}^2 = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}$ . We see easily that  $R(X, Y) = \nabla_{XY}^2 - \nabla_{YX}^2$ . For any local unit vector field  $e$ , we see that  $\{e_1 = e, e_2 = Ie, e_3 = Je, e_4 = Ke\}$  is a local orthonormal frame field compatible with the orientation on  $M$ . We denote by  $e^i$  the dual basis of  $e_i$ . Then, we may easily observe that the Kähler forms  $\Omega_I, \Omega_J$  and  $\Omega_K$  are given respectively by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_I &= e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 \\ \Omega_J &= e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \Omega_K &= e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) we see that vector bundle  $\Lambda_+^2 M$  is spanned by  $\{\Omega_I, \Omega_J, \Omega_K\}$ . We denote by  $\Lambda^2 M$  the vector bundle of real 2-forms over  $M$ . Then it is known that the vector bundle  $\Lambda^2 M$  is decomposed in the following forms:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda^2 M &= \mathbf{R}\Omega_I + \Lambda_{0I}^{1,1}(M) + LM_I \\ \Lambda^2 M &= \mathbf{R}\Omega_J + \Lambda_{0J}^{1,1}(M) + LM_J \\ \Lambda^2 M &= \mathbf{R}\Omega_K + \Lambda_{0K}^{1,1}(M) + LM_K \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda_{0I}^{1,1}(M)$ ,  $\Lambda_{0J}^{1,1}(M)$  and  $\Lambda_{0K}^{1,1}(M)$  denote the vector bundles of real primitive  $I$ -invariant,  $J$ -invariant and  $K$ -invariant 2-forms,  $LM_I, LM_J$  and

$LM_K$  the vector bundles of real primitive  $I$ -skew invariant,  $J$ -skew invariant and  $K$ -skew invariant 2-forms over  $M$ , respectively. The bundle  $LM_I$  (resp.  $LM_J, LM_K$ ) is endowed with a natural complex structure (also denoted by  $I$  (resp.  $J, K$ )) which is defined by  $(I\Phi_I)(X, Y) = -\Phi_I(IX, Y)$  (resp.  $(J\Phi_J)(X, Y) = -\Phi_J(JX, Y)$  and  $(K\Phi_K)(X, Y) = -\Phi_K(KX, Y)$ ), for any section  $\Phi_I$  (resp.  $\Phi_J, \Phi_K$ ) of  $M$  and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

In the above decompositions (2.2) of  $\Lambda^2 M$ , we see easily that  $LM_I = \text{span}\{\Omega_J, \Omega_K\}$ ,  $LM_J = \text{span}\{\Omega_K, \Omega_I\}$  and  $LM_K = \text{span}\{\Omega_I, \Omega_J\}$ . A 4-dimensional almost hyperhermitian manifold  $M = (M; I, J, K, g)$  is called a 4-dimensional hyper-para-Kähler manifold if  $M$  satisfies the conditions:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y) \cdot I &= 0 \\ R(X, Y) \cdot J &= 0 \\ R(X, Y) \cdot K &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

We shall prove the theorem 1.5.

*Proof.* Let  $(M; I, J, K, g)$  be a 4-dimensional hyper-para-Kähler Einstein manifold. Let  $\{e_1 = e, e_2 = Ie, e_3 = Je, e_4 = Ke\}$  ( $\|e\| = 1$ ) be a local orthonormal frame field compatible with the orientation on  $M$ . Let  $\{e^i\}$  be the dual basis of  $\{e_i\}$ . we define the fiber metric  $h$  of  $\Lambda_+^2 M$  by

$$(3.1) \quad h(\alpha, \beta) = \sum \alpha_{ab}\beta_{cd} \{g(e_a, e_c)g(e_b, e_d) - g(e_a, e_d)g(e_b, e_c)\},$$

where  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{ab}e^a \wedge e^b, \beta = \sum \beta_{cd}e^c \wedge e^d \in \Lambda_+^2 M$ ,  $a, b, c, d = 1, \dots, 4$ . From (2.1) and (3.1), by direct calculation, we see that  $\{\Omega_I/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_J/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_K/\sqrt{2}\}$  is an orthonormal frame field with respect to the fiber metric  $h$ .

We denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  the connection of  $\Lambda_+^2 M$  and the Levi-Cevita connection of  $M$ . Taking into account of (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla} = \nabla$$

We denote by  $\tilde{R}$  the curvature tensor with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . Since  $M$  is a hyperhermitian manifold, we see easily that (2.3) are equivalent to the following equations, respectively.

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_I &= 0 \\ R(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_J &= 0 \\ R(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_K &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Taking into account of (3.2), from (3.3), we get easily

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_I &= 0 \\ \tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_J &= 0 \\ \tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot \Omega_K &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , and hence  $\tilde{R} = 0$  on  $M$ . Thus, for the point  $p \in M$ , there exists a  $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel orthonormal frame field near the point  $p$ . Let  $\Omega_1/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_2/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_3/\sqrt{2}$  be a  $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel orthonormal frame field near the point  $p$ . From (3.2), we get easily

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla\Omega_1 = 0, \nabla\Omega_2 = 0, \nabla\Omega_3 = 0$$

near the point  $p$ . Thus, we see that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_3$  are relatively orthogonal and are  $\nabla$ -parallel self dual 2-form near the point  $p$ . Since  $\{\Omega_I/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_J/\sqrt{2}, \Omega_K/\sqrt{2}\}$  is an orthonormal frame field with respect to the fiber metric  $h$ , we can put  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_3$  as follows near the point  $p$ :

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= a_1\Omega_I + a_2\Omega_J + a_3\Omega_K \\ \Omega_2 &= b_1\Omega_I + b_2\Omega_J + b_3\Omega_K \\ \Omega_3 &= c_1\Omega_I + c_2\Omega_J + c_3\Omega_K \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3, c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are smooth functions near the point  $p$ . From (3.1) and (3.6), we see that

$$(3.7) \quad \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \in SO(3)$$

near the point  $p$ . From (3.6), for  $X, Y \in T_pM$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(X, Y) &= g((a_1I + a_2J + a_3K)X, Y) \\ \Omega_2(X, Y) &= g((b_1I + b_2J + b_3K)X, Y) \\ \Omega_3(X, Y) &= g((c_1I + c_2J + c_3K)X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put  $\bar{I}, \bar{J}$  and  $\bar{K}$  as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{I} \\ \bar{J} \\ \bar{K} \end{pmatrix} = \Theta \begin{pmatrix} I \\ J \\ K \end{pmatrix}$$

near the point  $p$ . Then, from (3.7) and (3.8), by direct calculation, we get  $\bar{I}^2 = \bar{J}^2 = \bar{K}^2 = -E, \bar{K} = \bar{I}\bar{J} = -\bar{J}\bar{I}$ . Thus,  $\bar{I}, \bar{J}$  and  $\bar{K}$  are almost complex structures near the point  $p$  respectively. By (3.5), we see that  $(\bar{I}, \bar{J}, \bar{K}, g)$  is hyper-Kähler structure near the point  $p$ .  $\square$

### REFERENCES

1. N.J.Hitchin, *The self -duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1987), 59-126..
2. N.Murakosi, K. Sekigawa and A. Yamanda, *Integrability of almost quaternionic manifolds*, Indian J. Math 42 (2000), 313-329.
3. T.Nihonyanagi, K. Sekigawa and A. Yamada, *Notes on 4-dimensional almost hypercomplex manifolds*, preprint.

Graduate School Of Science Of technology NIIGATA University NIIGATA 950-2181, Japan.  
e-mail: terumasa@m.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

Date received December 20, 2003