

CERTAIN CLASSES RELATED TO FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED BOUNDARY ROTATION

NAILAH A. AL DIHAN

ABSTRACT. A general class of analytic functions in the unit disc, containing the functions of bounded boundary rotation and closely related to the functions of bounded argument rotation, is defined; and for this class of functions the distortion and coefficient theorems are proved and the radius of convexity is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be an analytic function in $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ given in the form $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Then p is said to be in the class $P[A, B]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ if and only if for $z \in E$

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

where $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is analytic in E , then $f \in S^*[A, B]$ if and only if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P[A, B]$ for all $z \in E$.

These classes were introduced by Janawski [1].

2. DEFINITIONS AND SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Definition 1. Let $P_k[A, B, C, D]$ denote the class of functions p that are analytic in E and represented by

$$p(z) = \frac{k+2}{4}p_1(z) - \frac{k-2}{4}p_2(z)$$

where $p_1(z) \in P[A, B]$, $p_2(z) \in P[C, D]$ where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, $k \geq 2$. It is clear that

$$P_2[A, B, C, D] \equiv P[A, B]$$

and $P_k[1, -1, 1, -1] = P_k$, the well known class introduced by Pinchuk [5].

Definition 2. Let f be an analytic function given by $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Then $f \in R_k[A, B, C, D]$ if and only if there exist $s_1 \in S^*[A, B]$ and $s_2 \in S^*[C, D]$ such that for $z \in E$,

$$f(z) = \frac{(s_1(z))^{\frac{k+2}{4}}}{(s_2(z))^{\frac{k-2}{4}}}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Clearly $R_2[A, B, C, D] = S^*[A, B]$ and $R_k[1, -1, 1, -1] = R_k$ the class of bounded argument rotation introduced in [8]. When $A = C = 1 - 2\rho$ and $B = D = -1$ we have the class $R_k(\rho)$ which was introduced in [4].

Definition 3. Let f be an analytic function given by $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $f'(z) \neq 0$, $z \in E$. Then $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$ if and only if there exist $s_1 \in S^*[A, B]$, $s_2 \in S^*[C, D]$ where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$ such that

$$(1) \quad f'(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_2(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}}$$

where $V_2[A, B, C, D] = C[A, B]$ the class introduced by Silvia [7] and $V_k[1, -1, 1, -1] = V_k$ the well known class of bounded boundary rotation

defined by Paatero [3]. When $A = C = 1 - 2\rho$ and $B = D = -1$ we have the class $V_k(\rho)$ which was introduced in [4]. It is clear that $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$ if and only if $zf' \in R_k[A, B, C, D]$.

Taking logarithmic differentiation of (1) we have

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$. Then*

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \in P_k[A, B, C, D]$$

3. DISTORTION AND COEFFICIENT THEOREMS

Lemma 2 [1]. *Let $f \in S^*[A, B]$. Then for $|z| < r$, $0 < r < 1$,*

$$r(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} \leq |f(z)| \leq r(1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} \quad \text{if } B \neq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$re^{-Ar} \leq |f(z)| \leq re^{Ar} \quad \text{if } B = 0.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$. Then*

$$\frac{(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}}}{(1 + Dr)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}}}{(1 - Dr)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}}$$

when $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$.

$$\frac{r \exp(-Ar(k + 2)/4)}{(1 + Dr)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{r \exp(Ar(k + 2)/4)}{(1 - Dr)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}}$$

when $B = 0$ and $D \neq 0$.

$$(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}} e^{Cr \frac{k-2}{4}} \leq |f'(z)|$$

$$\leq (1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}} e^{Cr \frac{k-2}{4}}$$

when $D = 0$ and $B \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \exp - \left\{ \frac{k}{4}(A + C)r + \frac{A - C}{2}r \right\} &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{k}{4}(A + C)r + \frac{A - C}{2}r \right\} \end{aligned}$$

when $B = D = 0$. All these bounds are sharp, as can be seen from f'_0 given by the equations below:

$$f'_0(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 + B\delta_1 z)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}}}{(1 - D\delta_2 z)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}} & |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1, \\ & B \neq 0, D \neq 0. \\ \frac{\exp A\delta_1 z(k+2)/4}{(1 - D\delta_2 z)^{\frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4}}} & \text{when } B = 0 \text{ and } D \neq 0. \\ (1 + B\delta_1 z)^{\frac{A-B}{B} \frac{k+2}{4}} \exp \frac{k-2}{2} C\delta_2 z & \text{when } D = 0 \text{ and } B \neq 0. \\ \exp \left\{ \frac{k}{4}(A + C)\delta_1 z + \frac{(A - C)}{2}\delta_2 z \right\} & B = D = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Lemma 2 and Definition 3.

Lemma 3 [1] Let $p \in P[A, B]$ be given by $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Then $|b_n| \leq A - B$ for all n .

Lemma 4. Let $F \in P_k[A, B, C, D]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, be given by $F(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. Then

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{16 + (((k+2)(A-B) - (k-2)(C-D))^2 - 16)r^2}{16(1-r^2)}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{k+2}{4} \frac{A-B}{1-B^2 r^2} + \frac{k-2}{4} \frac{C-D}{1-D^2 r^2}.$$

Proof.

(i) Let $F(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. Then using Parseval's identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{((k+2)(A-B) - (k-2)(C-D))^2}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 3. Hence the result.

(ii) Now

$$F'(z) = \frac{k+2}{4} p_1'(z) - \frac{k-2}{4} p_2'(z),$$

where $p_1 \in P[A, B]$ and $p_2 \in P[C, D]$.

Since, for $p \in P[A, B]$,

$$p'(z) = \frac{(A-B)w'(z)}{(1+Bw(z))^2},$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(A-B)|w'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta}{|1+Bw(re^{i\theta})|^2} \leq \frac{A-B}{1-B^2r^2},$$

hence $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{k+2}{4} \frac{A-B}{1-B^2r^2} + \frac{k-2}{4} \frac{C-D}{1-D^2r^2}.$

This proves the second part of the lemma.

A Special Case: When $k = 2$, $F \in P[A, B]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta &\leq \frac{1 + ((A-B)^2 - 1)r^2}{1 - r^2} \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta &\leq \frac{(A-B)}{1 - B^2r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$ be given by $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$.

Then for all n ,

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} C_1(k, A, B, C, D)n^{\frac{C-D}{D}\frac{k-2}{4}-2} & \text{for } D > 0, B \geq 0 \\ C_2(k, A, C)n^{-2} & \text{if } D = B = 0 \\ C_3(k, A, B, C, D)n^{\frac{A-B}{-B}\frac{k+2}{4}-2} & \text{if } B < 0, D \leq 0 \\ C_4(k, A, B, C, D)n^{-2} & \text{if } B > 0, D < 0 \\ C_5(k, A, B, C, D)n^{\frac{A-B}{-B}\frac{k+2}{4}+\frac{C-D}{D}\frac{k-2}{4}-2} & \text{if } B < 0, D > 0, \end{cases}$$

where the C_i 's are constants depending on A, B, C, D, k .

The function $f_0(z)$ given in Theorem 1 shows that the exponent of n is the best possible.

Proof. Using Lemma 1 we have

$$(zf'(z))' = f'(z)p(z)$$

where $p \in P_k[A, B, C, D]$. Let $F = (z(zf'(z)))'$. Then

$$F(z) = f'(z)[p^2(z) + zp'(z)]$$

and

$$n^3|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(z)||p^2(z) + zp'(z)|d\theta, \text{ for } z = re^{i\theta}.$$

Using Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 we have the following cases:

(i) If $D > 0, B \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{1-Dr} < \frac{1}{1-r} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1-Br} < \frac{1}{1-r}$$

$$n^3|a_n| \leq \frac{C_1(k, A, B, C, D)}{(1-r)^{\frac{C-D}{D}\frac{k-2}{4}+1}}.$$

(ii) If $B = D = 0$, we have

$$n^3|a_n| \leq \frac{C_2(k, A, C)}{(1-r)}.$$

(iii) If $B < 0, D \leq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{1+Br} \leq \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Then, $n^3|a_n| \leq \frac{C_3(k, A, C, B, D)}{(1-r)^{\frac{A-B}{-B} \frac{k+2}{4} + 1}}.$

(iv) If $B > 0, D < 0$, then

$$|a_n| \leq C_4(k, A, B, C, D)n^{-2}.$$

(v) If $B < 0, D > 0$, then $\frac{1}{1+Br} \leq \frac{1}{1-r}$ and $\frac{1}{1-Dr} < \frac{1}{1-r}.$

$$n^3|a_n| \leq \frac{C_5(k, A, B, C, D)}{(1-r)^{\frac{A-B}{-B} \frac{k+2}{4} + \frac{C-D}{D} \frac{k-2}{4} + 1}}.$$

In the above inequalities, setting $r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ we obtain the upper bounds for $|a_n|$ as stated in the theorem.

4. INTEGRAL OPERATOR AND RADIUS PROBLEM

Lemma 5 [1]. Let $p(z) \in P[A, B]$ be given by $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$.

Then

$$\frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} \leq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1+Ar}{1+Br}.$$

Theorem 3. Let $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$. Then f maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a convex domain, where

$$(2) \quad r_0 = 8 / \left\{ (A-D)(k+2) + (C-B)(k-2) + \left[((A-D)(k+2) + (C-B)(k-2))^2 + 16((k+2)AD - (k-2)CB) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$ we have

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} = p(z), \quad p(z) \in P_k[A, B, C, D].$$

Using Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} &\geq \left(\frac{k+2}{4} \right) \frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} - \left(\frac{k-2}{4} \right) \frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \\ &= \frac{4 - ((A-D)(k+2) + (k-2)(C-B))r}{4(1-Br)(1+Dr)} \\ &\quad - \frac{((k+2)AD - (k-2)CB)r^2}{4(1-Br)(1+Dr)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} > 0$ for $|z| < r_0$, where r_0 is given by (2). The sharpness of the result follows from the function f_0 , where

$$\frac{(zf'_0(z))'}{f'_0(z)} = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1-Az}{1-Bz} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$$

We note here that for the class $V_k = V_k[1, -1, 1, -1]$ this result agrees with a result of Robertson [6].

Remark 1. The above proof shows that if $p \in P_k[A, B, C, D]$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for all $|z| < r_0$ where r_0 is given by (2).

Using the relation between $R_k[A, B, C, D]$ and $V_k[A, B, C, D]$ we have

Remark 2. Let $f \in R_k[A, B, C, D]$. Then f maps $|z| < r_0$ onto a star-like domain where r_0 is given by (2).

Lemma 6 [2]. *Let N and D be analytic in E with $N(0) = D(0) = 0$ and D be a p -valent starlike function. Suppose that $\frac{N'(z)}{D'(z)} \in P_k$. Then $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in P_k$.*

Theorem 4. *Let $g, f \in R_k[A, B, C, D]$, and*

$$F(z) = \left\{ \frac{\gamma + \alpha + 1 - \eta}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\alpha(t)g(t)t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1-\eta}}$$

where $\alpha \geq -1$, $\gamma > 0$, $0 \leq \frac{\eta}{\eta - \alpha - 1} < 1$, $\eta \leq 0$.

Then $F \in R_k \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1 - \alpha} \right)$.

Proof.

$$(\alpha + 1 - \eta) \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{(f(z))^\alpha g(z) z^{\gamma-\eta} - \gamma \int_0^z (f(t))^\alpha g(t) t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt}{\int_0^z (f(t))^\alpha g(t) t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt}$$

We define the functions

$$M(z) = f^\alpha(z)g(z)z^{\gamma-\eta} - \gamma \int_0^z (f(t))^\alpha g(t) t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt$$

and

$$N(z) = (\alpha + 1 - \eta) \int_0^z (f(t))^\alpha g(t) t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt.$$

Then we see that $M(0) = N(0) = 0$ and $N(z)$ is $(\alpha + \gamma + 1 - \eta)$ -valent starlike for $z \in E$.

Also

$$\frac{M'(z)}{N'(z)} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1 - \eta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1 - \eta} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{\eta}{\alpha + 1 - \eta}.$$

But

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in P_k[A, B, C, D] \subseteq P_k$$

and

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \in P_k[A, B, C, D] \subseteq P_k.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{M'(z)}{N'(z)} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1 - \eta} p_1(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1 - \eta} p_2(z) + \left[1 - \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1 - \eta} \right].$$

where $p_i \in P_k$ $i = 1, 2$.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M'(z)}{N'(z)} &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1-\eta} \left[\frac{k+2}{4} h_1(z) - \frac{k-2}{4} h_2(z) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \left[\frac{k+2}{4} h_3(z) - \frac{k-2}{4} h_4(z) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \right] \quad \text{where } h_i \in P, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\
&= \left[\frac{k+2}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1-\eta} h_1(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha+1-\eta} h_3(z) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{k-2}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1-\eta} h_2(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha+1-\eta} h_4(z) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \right] \\
&= \frac{k+2}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} H_1(z) \right] - \frac{k-2}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} H_2(z) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $H_i \in P[1, -1]$, $i = 1, 2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M'(z)}{N'(z)} &= \frac{k+2}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} H_1(z) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{k-2}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} H_2(z) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1-\eta} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{k+2}{4} f_1(z) - \frac{k-2}{4} f_2(z)
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_i \in P \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{\eta-1-\alpha}, -1 \right)$, $i = 1, 2$.

So

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \in P_k \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta-1-\alpha} \right) = P_k \left[1 - \frac{2\eta}{\eta-1-\alpha}, -1, 1 - \frac{2\eta}{\eta-1-\alpha}, -1 \right]$$

Hence

$$F \in R_k \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1 - \alpha} \right) = R_k \left[1 - \frac{2\eta}{\eta - 1 - \alpha}, -1, 1 - \frac{2\eta}{\eta - 1 - \alpha}, -1 \right].$$

Using similar techniques, we can also prove the following

Theorem 5. Let $f, g \in V_k[A, B, C, D]$, and

$$F(z) = \left\{ \frac{\gamma + \alpha - 1 - \eta}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\alpha(t)g(t)t^{\gamma-1-\eta} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1-\eta}}$$

where $\alpha \geq -1$, $\gamma > 0$, $0 \leq \frac{\eta}{\eta - \alpha - 1} < 1$, $\eta \leq 0$.

Then $F \in V_k \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - 1 - \alpha} \right)$.

REFERENCES

1. W. Janawski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **28** (1993), 297-326.
2. K.I. Noor, *On Some Integral Operators and Radii Problems*, Pan American. Math. J. **3**[4] (1993), 61-71.
3. Paatero, *Über die Konforme von Gebieten deren Ränder von beschränkter Drehung sind* Ann. Acad. Sci, Fenn A. **33**(1931), 77. pp.
4. K.S. Padmanabhan, and R. Parvatham, *Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Ann. Polon. Math., **31** (1975), 311-323.
5. B. Pinchuk, *A variational method for functions of bounded boundary rotation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **138**(1969), 107-113.
6. M. Robertson, *Coefficients of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Canad. J. Math, **21** (1969), 1477-1482.

7. E. Silvia, *Subclasses of close to convex functions*, Internat. J. Math and Math. Sci., **3**(1983), 449-458.
8. O. Tammi, *On the maximalization of the coefficients of schlicht functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae. Ser. A.I. Math. Phys., **144**(1952) 51 pp.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, GIRLS COLLEGE OF EDUCATION P.O.Box 61410,
RIYADH 11567, SAUDI ARABIA.

Date received February 23, 1998.