

AUTOMORPHISMS OF P_0P -LATTICES

Khalil I. Tabash

ABSTRACT. P_0 -lattices, which are P -algebras, are called P_0P -lattices. The chain base in a P_0 -lattice is not necessarily unique. The automorphisms of a finite P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ that make the chain of constants e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed, where the chain satisfies the condition: If a is an atom of B and $0 \neq e_i$ then $0 \neq ae_i$, for all $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-2$, were described. In this paper we describe the automorphisms of a finite P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ that make the chain of constants e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed, where e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} is any chain base for P , and we obtain the above results as corollaries.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We adopt the notation of [3] here. A P_0 -lattice is a bounded distributive lattice P which is generated by its center B of all complemented elements of P and a finite sub-chain $0 = e_0 < e_1 < \dots < e_{n-1}$ containing 0 and 1. It is denoted by $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$. Furthermore

- (i) e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} is called a *chain base* of P
- (ii) A P_0 -lattice P is of *order* n ($n > 2$) if n is the smallest integer such that P has a chain base with n -terms
- (iii) Every element $x \in P$ can be written in the form: $x = d_1e_1 \vee \dots \vee d_{n-1}e_{n-1} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i e_i$, where $d_i \in B, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{n-1}$. Such a representation is called a *monotonic representation* (*mon.rep.*) of x .

Let P be a bounded distributive lattice with center B . Let the largest element $z \in P$ ($z \in B$) such that $zx \leq y$, if it exists, be denoted by $x \rightarrow y$ ($x \Rightarrow y$). Let $\neg x = x \rightarrow 0$. and $!x = (1 \Rightarrow x)$. If $x \rightarrow y$ ($x \Rightarrow y$) exists for all $x, y \in P$ then P is called a *Heyting algebra* (a *B-algebra*). It is clear that $x \Rightarrow y = !(x \rightarrow y)$. An element $x \in P$ is called dense if $\neg x = 0$. A *P-algebra* is a *B-algebra* satisfying $(x \Rightarrow y) \vee (y \Rightarrow x) = 1$ for all $x, y \in P$.

If P is a *P-algebra* [1], then:

$$!(xy) = !x!y \text{ for all } x, y \in P,$$

$$x \rightarrow (y \vee z) = (x \rightarrow y) \vee (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$yz \rightarrow x = (y \rightarrow x) \vee (z \rightarrow x),$$

$$x \rightarrow yz = (x \rightarrow y)(x \rightarrow z) \text{ and } (y \vee z) \rightarrow x = (y \rightarrow x)(z \rightarrow x).$$

P_0 -lattices which are *P-algebras* are called *P_0P -lattices* [3]. $a \in P$ is Boolean if a has a complement. Let the least Boolean element greater than or equal to x (if it exists) be denoted by $x!$. It was proved in [3] that in a *P_0P -lattice* $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$,

$$(1) \quad (x \Rightarrow y)(y \Rightarrow z) \leq (x \Rightarrow z)$$

$$(2) \quad x! = \overline{x \Rightarrow 0}, (\overline{x \Rightarrow 0} \text{ is the complement of } x \Rightarrow 0),$$

$$(3) \quad (x \vee y)! = x! \vee y!,$$

$$(4) \quad \text{Every element } x \in P \text{ can be written in the form } x = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(x) e_i, \text{ where}$$

$D_i(x) = x!(e_i \Rightarrow x)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and the following properties hold:

$$(a) \quad D_1(x) \geq \dots \geq D_{n-1}(x),$$

$$(b) \quad D_i(x \vee y) = D_i(x) \vee D_i(y),$$

$$(c) \quad D_i(xy) = D_i(x) D_i(y),$$

$$(d) \quad D_i(b) = b \text{ for } b \in B,$$

$$(e) \quad D_i(e_j) = e_j! \text{ for } i \leq j \text{ and } D_i(e_j) = e_j!(e_i \Rightarrow e_j)$$

for $i > j$ and in particular $D_{n-1}(e_j) = !e_j$.

2. P_0P -LATTICE HOMOMOPHISMS

Each P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ used in this section is finite and the center B is a Boolean algebra.

Definition 2.1. Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ and $P' = \langle B', e'_0, \dots, e'_{m-1} \rangle$ be two P_0P -lattices of orders n and m respectively, $m \geq n$, then a lattice homomorphism h of P into P' is called a P_0P -homomorphism, provided,

- (i) $h|_B : B \rightarrow B'$ is a Boolean homomorphism.
- (ii) $h(e_1), \dots, h(e_{n-2})$ is chain in $P' - B'$

If P and P' are P_0P -lattices, then h is said to be a P_0P -homomorphism provided that: $h(x \Rightarrow y) = h(x) \Rightarrow h(y)$.

A one-to-one P_0P -homomorphism of a P_0P -lattice P onto itself is called a P_0P -automorphism. Hence, if h is an automorphism of a P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ then $h(B) = B$ and $h(e_0), \dots, h(e_{n-1})$ is a chain base of P .

Definition 2.2. Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a P_0P -lattice of order n . Let

- (i) $Fe_i = \{a : a \text{ is an atom of } B \text{ and } ae_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.
- (ii) $Pe_i = \{a : a \text{ is an atom of } B \text{ and } 0 < ae_i < a \text{ and } ae_j = 0 \text{ for all } j > i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.
- (iii) $Pe_{ik} = \{a : a \in Pe_i \text{ and } ae_k < a\}$, $k = i, \dots, n-1$,
- (iv) $Pe_{ik}^{s(j)} = \{a : a \in Pe_{ik} \text{ and } ae_{t-1} = ae_t \text{ iff } t \in s(j), s(j) \subseteq \{i+1, \dots, k\}\}$, where $s : \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{k-i}\} \rightarrow 2^{\{i+1, \dots, k\}}$ and $2^{\{i+1, \dots, k\}}$ is the power set of $\{i+1, \dots, k\}$, (if $k = 1$, then $s(j) = \phi$ and $Pe_{ii}^\phi = Pe_{ii} = Pe_i$).
- (v) $Se_i = Fe_{i-1} - (Fe_i \cup Pe_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

If A is the set of all atoms in B , then it is clear that

- (f₁) $A = Fe_0 \supseteq Fe_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Fe_{n-1} = \phi$,
- (f₂) $Pe_i \subseteq Fe_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.
- (f₃) $Se_{n-1} = Fe_{n-2} - (Fe_{n-1} \cup Pe_{n-1}) = Fe_{n-2} - \phi = Fe_{n-2}$.

Lemma 2.1. $Pe_i \cap Pe_j = \phi$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1$.

Proof. Let $a \in Pe_i \cap Pe_j$, $i < j$. Since $a \in Pe_j$ then $0 \neq ae_j < a$ and $ae_{j'} = 0$ for $j' < j$. Since $i < j$ then $ae_i = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $a \in Pe_i$. Hence $Pe_i \cap Pe_j = \phi$. □

Lemma 2.2. $Se_i \cap Se_j = \phi$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n - 1$.

Proof. Suppose not and let $a \in Se_i \cap Se_j, i < j$. then $a \in Se_i$. Hence $a \in Fe_{i-1}$ and $a \notin Fe_i$. Now, $a \in Se_j$ implies $a \in Fe_{j-1}$ and $a \notin Fe_j$. Since $i < j$, then $j - 1 > i$. Hence, $Fe_{j-1} \subseteq Fe_j$. Since $a \in Fe_{j-1}$ then $a \in Fe_i$. Contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.3. $P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} \cap P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j')} = \phi$ for $i \leq j \neq j' \leq 2^{k-i}$.

Proof. Since s is one-to-one, $j \neq j'$ implies $s(j) \neq s(j')$. Hence there exists $i_0, i < i_0 \leq k$, such that $i_0 \in s(j)$ and $i_0 \notin s(j')$ or $i_0 \notin s(j)$ and $i_0 \in s(j')$. In the first case, let: $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} \cap P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j')}$. Then

- (i) $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$ and $i_0 \in s(j)$ implies $ae_{i_0-1} = ae_{i_0}$, and
- (ii) $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j')}$ and $i_0 \notin s(j')$ implies $ae_{i_0-1} < ae_{i_0}$ which contradicts (i). We arrive at the same contradiction in the second case. Hence, $P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} \cap P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j')} = \phi$. \square

Lemma 2.4. $P_{e_{ik}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$, where some of the terms may be empty.

Proof. Let $a \in Pe_{ik}$, then either

- (i) $ae_{i(l-1)} \neq ae_{il}$ for all $i + 1 \leq l \leq k, k > i$, i.e. $ae_{ii} \neq ae_{i(i+1)} \neq \dots \neq ae_{ik}$; hence, $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$ where $s(j) = \phi$, or
- (ii) $ae_{i(l_1-1)} = ae_{il_1}, \dots, ae_{i(l_{i_0}-1)} = ae_{il_{i_0}}$ for some $i + 1 \leq l_1, \dots, l_{i_0} \leq k$. Let $s(j) = \{l_1, \dots, l_{i_0}\}$. Then $s(j) \subseteq \{i + 1, \dots, k\}$ and $l \in s(j) \leftrightarrow ae_{i(l-1)} = ae_{il}$. Hence $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$ which implies $P_{e_{ik}} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$. The converse inclusion is obvious from the definition. \square

Remark 2.1.

- (i) Using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 it is clear that Pe_{ik} has the partition

$$P_{e_{ik}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}, \text{ (some of the terms may be empty)}$$

- (ii) $Pe_{ik} - Pe_{i(k-1)}$, has the partition

$$Pe_{ik} - Pe_{i(k+1)} = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} \right) - Pe_{i(k+1)} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} \left(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right), \text{ (some of the terms may be empty)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad Pe_i &= Pe_{ii} \\ &= (Pe_{ii} - Pe_{i(i+1)}) \cup (Pe_{i(i+1)} - Pe_{i(i+2)}) \cup \cdots \cup (Pe_{i(n-2)} - Pe_{i(n-1)}) \\ &= \bigcup_{k=i}^{n-2} (Pe_{ik} - Pe_{i(k+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Pe_i has the partition

$$Pe_i = \bigcup_{k=i}^{n-2} (Pe_{ik} - Pe_{i(k+1)}) = \bigcup_{k=i}^{n-2} \bigcup_{j=i}^{2^{k-i}} \left(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right)$$

(some of the terms may be empty)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad Fe_1 &= (Fe_1 - Fe_2) \cup (Fe_2 - Fe_3) \cup \cdots \cup (Fe_{n-2} - Fe_{n-1}) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} (Fe_i - Fe_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $Se_i = Fe_{i-1} - (Fe_i \cup Pe_i)$, $Fe_i, Pe_i \subseteq Fe_{i-1}$ and $Fe_i \cap Pe_i = \phi$, hence $Se_i \cup Pe_i = Fe_{i-1} - Fe_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and (iv) implies

$$\text{(v)} \quad Fe_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} (Fe_i - Fe_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{i=2}^{n-1} (Fe_{i-1} - Fe_i) = \bigcup_{i=2}^{n-2} (Fe_i - Se_i), \text{ where some of the term may be empty.}$$

Hence, if A is the set of all atoms in the center B of a P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ of order n , then using Definition 2.2, A has a partition $A = Fe_1 \cup Pe_1 \cup Se_1$. Hence, using Remark 2.1 (v), $A = Fe_1 \cup Pe_1 \cup Se_1 = \bigcup_{i=2}^{n-1} (Pe_i \cup Se_i) \cup Pe_1 \cup Se_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (Pe_i \cup Se_i)$, and using Remark 2.1 (iii), A has the partition

$$\text{(vi)} \quad A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[\bigcup_{k=i}^{n-2} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} \left(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right) \right) \cup Se_i \right],$$

(Some of the terms may be empty).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a finite P_0P -lattice of order n whose center B contains m atoms, and let h be an automorphism of P . Then*

(a) $h(Fe_i) = Fh(e_i)$, $i = 1 \cdots, n-1$, where

$$F(e_i) = \{a : a \text{ atom of } B \text{ and } ae_i = 0\}$$

$$h(Fe_i) = \{a : a \text{ atom of } B \text{ and } ah(e_i) = 0\}$$

(b) $h(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}) = P_{h(e_i)k}^{s(j)}$, $i = 1 \cdots, n-2$; $k = i+1, \cdots, n-2$ and $j = 1 \cdots, 2^{k-i}$

Proof. It is easy to see that h permutes the atoms of B . To prove (a) note that $ae_i = 0$ iff $h(a)h(e_i) = 0$. Hence $a \in Fe_i$ iff $h(a) \in Fh(e_i)$, i.e. (a) holds.

To prove (b), let $a \in P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$, then $a \in Pe_{ik}$ and $ae_{l-1} = ae_l$ iff $l \in s(j)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} h(a)h(e_i) &< h(a), \quad h(a)h(e_k) < h(a) \quad \text{and} \quad h(a)h(e_{l-1}) = h(a)h(e_l) \\ &\text{iff } l \in s(j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h(a) \in P^{s(j)}h(e_i)k$. The converse implication is similar. \square

Corollary 1. *If $P = \langle B, e_0, \cdots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ is a P_0P -lattice of order n and if h is an automorphism of P with $h(e_i) = e_i$, $i = 1 \cdots, n-1$, then h permutes each of the sets Fe_i . That is, $h(Fe_i) = Fe_i$, $i = 1 \cdots, n-2$ and $P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$, i.e. $h(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}) = P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$, $i = 1 \cdots, n-2$, $k = i \cdots, n-1$ and $j = 1 \cdots, 2^{k-i}$.*

Remark 2.2. It is clear using Remarks 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 that if h is an automorphism of P with $h(e_i) = e_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$, then h permutes each of the following sets

$$(f_1) Pe_{ik}, k = i+1, \cdots, n-1$$

$$(f_2) P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - P_{i(k+1)}, k = i+1, \cdots, n-1, j = 1, \cdots, 2^{k-i}$$

$$(f_3) Se_i = Fe_{i-1} - (Fe_i \cup Pe_i), i = 1, \cdots, n-1.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \cdots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a P_0P -lattice with a finite center B . Let A be the set of all atoms in B . Then*

(i) $e_i! = \vee(A - Fe_i)$, $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$

(ii) $e_k \Rightarrow e_j = \vee \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \cdots, k\}\} \right) \right)$,

$k > j$, where $De_j = \{a : a \in A, ae_j = a\}$ and Fe_i and $P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}$ are defined in Definition 2.2.

Proof.

(i) Let $a \in Fe_i$, then $ae_i = 0$, hence $a \leq e_i \Rightarrow 0$ which implies $\vee Fe_i \leq e_i \Rightarrow 0$.

Now, $\vee(A - Fe_i) = \overline{(\vee Fe_i)} \geq \overline{(e_i \Rightarrow 0)} = e_i!$, i.e. $\vee(A - Fe_i) \geq e_i!$. On the other hand let $a \leq \vee(A - Fe_i)$, $a \in A$, then $0 < ae_i \leq a$. Hence, $a(e_i!) \leq a$, which implies $a(e_i!) = a$ (a is an atom). This implies $a \leq e_i!$. Thus $\vee(A - Fe_i) \leq e_i!$.

(ii) Let $a \leq \vee \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\} \right) \right)$, then either $a \in Fe_k$, hence $ae_k = 0 < e_j$ which implies $a \leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$, or $a \in De_j \subseteq De_k$, hence $a = ae_k = ae_j < e_j$, which implies $a \leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$, or $a \in P^{s(j)}e_{i_0k}$, where $s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}$ and $1 \leq i_0 \leq j$. Hence, $0 < ae_k = ae_{k-1} = \dots = ae_{j+1} = ae_j < e_j$. i.e. $ae_k < e_j$, which implies $a \leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$, hence, $\vee \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{i_0k} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\} \right) \right) \leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$. Suppose equality does not hold, then there exists at least one $a \in A$, $a \leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$ and $a \notin \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\} \right) \right)$, $k > j$. Hence, $ae_k \neq 0$, $ae_j \neq 0$ and $ae_k \neq ae_j$ ($ae_j \neq 0$ because $j > i$) and this implies $ae_k \not\leq e_j$ (because $ae_k < e_j$ implies $ae_k = ae_j$, which contradicts $ae_k \neq ae_j$) Since $ae_k \not\leq e_j$, $a \not\leq e_k \Rightarrow e_j$. Contradiction. Hence,

$$e_k \Rightarrow e_j = \vee \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\} \right) \right),$$

$k > j$

□

Lemma 2.7. Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a P_0P -lattice with atomic center $B \approx 2^m$, then for any $x \in P$ and for $k < j$, $D_i(x)(e_k \Rightarrow e_j) \leq D_k(x)$.

Proof. Let $a \leq D_i(x)(e_k \Rightarrow e_j)$, $k > j$, then $a \leq D_j(x) = x!(e_j \Rightarrow x)$ and $a \in \left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \cup \{P^{s(l)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\} \right) \right)$

(i) If $a \in Fe_k$, then $ae_k = 0$ which implies $a \leq (e_k \Rightarrow 0) \leq e_k \Rightarrow x$ ($a \leq D_j(x)$ implies $a \leq x!$ and $a \leq e_j \Rightarrow x$).

(ii) if $a \in De_j$, then $ae_k = ae_j < x$ (because $a \leq e_j \Rightarrow x$). Hence $a \leq (e_k \Rightarrow x)$ which implies $a \leq D_k(x)$.

(iii) if $a \in \left\{ P^{s(j)}e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\} \right\}$ then $a = a_k = ae_{k-1} = \dots = ae_{j+1} = ae_j \leq x$. Hence $a \leq e_k \Rightarrow x$, thus $a \leq x!(e_k \Rightarrow x) = D_k(x)$. □

Lemma 2.8. *if $h_0 : B \rightarrow B$ is an automorphism of a finite Boolean algebra B , and $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ is a P_0 -lattice having another chain base*

$$0 = e'_0 < e'_1 < \dots < e'_{n-1} = 1,$$

and if h_0 satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} h_0(Fe_i) = Fe'_i, \\ h_0(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}) = P_{e'_{ik}}^{s(j)} \end{cases}$$

$i = 1, \dots, n-2$, $k = i+1, \dots, n-1$ and $j = 1, \dots, 2^{k-i}$, then

- (a) $h_0(e_k \Rightarrow e_j) = (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j)$,
- (b) $h_0(e_j!) = e_j!$;
- (c) $\bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j = h_0(D_k(x))$,
- (d) $h_0(D_j(x)) (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j) \leq h_0(D_k(x))$, for $k > j$. (by Lemma 2.6)

Proof.

(a) It is clear for $k \leq j$ ($e_k \Rightarrow e_j = 1$). Let $k > j$. Then (by Lemma 2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(e_k \Rightarrow e_j) &= \\ h_0\left(\bigvee\left(Fe_k \cup De_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \bigcup \{P_{e_{ik}}^{s(l)} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\}\right)\right)\right) &= \\ = \bigvee\left(h_0(Fe_k) \cup h_0(De_j) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \bigcup \{h_0(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(l)}) : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\}\right)\right) &= \\ = \bigvee\left(Fe'_k \cup De'_j \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^j \bigcup \{P_{e_{ik}}^{s(l)} e_{ik} : s(l) \supseteq \{j+1, \dots, k\}\}\right)\right) &= \\ = e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j. \end{aligned}$$

(b) By Lemma 2.11,

$$h_0(e_i!) = h_0(\bigvee(A - Fe_i)) = \bigvee(A - h_0(Fe_i)) = \bigvee(A - Fe_i) = e'_i!.$$

(c) For $k \leq j$, $h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! \leq h_0(D_j(x)) \leq h_0(D_k(x))$ (by property 4 (a)

in 1). Hence $\bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! \leq h_0(D_j(x))$. Let $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_k(x))$ where a is an atom of B . Then either

(i) $0 \neq h_0(a) e'_k \leq h_0(a)$, or (ii) $h_0(a) e'_k = 0$.

If (i) holds, then $h_0(a) \leq e'_k!$. Hence $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_k(x)) e'_k \leq \bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j!$

and (c) holds.

Suppose (ii) holds. Now, $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_k(x)) = h_0(x!(e_k \Rightarrow x))$ implies $h_0(a) \leq h_0(x!)$ which implies $a \leq x!$ (h_0 is an automorphism). $a \leq x!$ implies either: $(l_1) a \leq x$, or $(l_2) ax \neq 0$, or $(l_3) x < a$. If (l_1) is satisfied, then $a \leq!x = D_{n-1}(x)$ and $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_{n-1}(x)) \leq \bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j!$ and (c)

holds. If (l_2) is satisfied, then $0 \neq ax = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} (D_j(x)) e_j = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} aD_j(x) e_j =$

$\bigvee_{j>k}^{n-1} a(D_j(x) e_j)$ (because $h_0(a) e'_k = 0$ which implies $ae_k = 0$). Hence, there exists at least one index $j_0 > k$ such that $aD_{j_0}(x) e_j \neq 0$, and this implies $aD_{j_0}(x) \neq 0$ and $ae_{j_0} \neq 0$. Since $aD_{j_0}(x)$ is a Boolean element and a is an atom, $a \leq D_{j_0}(x)$. Now, $ae_{j_0} \neq 0$ implies $ae_{j_0} \leq a$ and $a \leq e_{j_0}!$. Hence, $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_{j_0}(x))$ and $h_0(a) \leq h_0(e_{j_0}!) = e'_{j_0}!$ (by part (b)) which implies $h_0(a) \leq h_0(D_{j_0}(x)) e'_{j_0}! \leq \bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j!$, and (c) again holds.

if (l_3) is satisfied, then $x < a$ implies $ax = x$ and the proof is similar to the one above.

(d) The proof follows by Lemma 2.7 and part (a). □

Theorem 2.1. *If $h_0 : B \rightarrow B$ is an automorphism of a finite Boolean algebra B and $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ is a P_0P -lattice having another chain base*

$$0 = e'_0 < e'_1 < \dots < e'_{n-1} = 1,$$

then there exists an automorphism h of P such that $h|_B = h_0$ and $h(e_i) = e'_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ if and only if h_0 satisfies (2.1) of Lemma 2.8, i.e. h_0 satisfies

$$h_0(Fe_i) = Fe'_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_0\left(P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}\right) = P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

$k = i+1, \dots, n-1$ and $j = 1, \dots, 2^{k-i}$.

Proof. Suppose h_0 satisfies (2.1) and let $x, y \in P$, then x and y have a monotonic representation, $x = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(x) e_i$ and $y = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(y) e_i$, where $D_i(x) = x!(e_i \Rightarrow x)$ and $D_i(y) = y!(e_i \Rightarrow y)$. Let $h : P \rightarrow P$ be defined by $h(x) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_i(x)) e'_i$.

(1) First we shall prove that $h|_B = h_0$. For $b \in B$, $b = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(b) e_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} b e_i$ (by 4(d)). Hence, $h(b) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(b) e'_i = h_0(b) \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} e'_i = h_0(b) 1 = h_0(b)$, which implies $h|_B = h_0$.

(2) Now we shall prove that $D'_k(h(x)) = h_0(D_k(x))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 D'_k(h(x)) &= D'_k\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j\right) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} D'_k(h_0(D_j(x))) D'_k(e'_j) \\
 &= \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) D'_k(e'_j) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_i(x)) e'_i \\
 &= \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! (e'_k \Rightarrow e_j) \quad (\text{by 4(d) and 4(e)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j) \vee \bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j!.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by part (d) of Lemma 2.8

$h_0(D_j(x)) (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j) e'_j \leq h_0(D_k(x))$ for $k > j$. Hence,

$$\bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j) \leq h_0(D_k(x)).$$

$\bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! = h_0(D_k(x))$ by 4(c) and 4(e). Hence, $D'_k(h(x)) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! (e'_k \Rightarrow e'_j) \vee \bigvee_{j=k}^{n-1} h_0(D_j(x)) e'_j! = h_0(D_k(x))$.

(3) We shall prove that $h(e_j) = e'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(e_j) &= \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_i(e_j)) e'_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(e_j! (e_i \Rightarrow e_j)) e'_i \quad (\text{by 4.(e)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(e_j!) h_0(e_i \Rightarrow e_j) e'_i = h(e_j) \\
 &= \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} e'_i! (e'_i \Rightarrow e'_j) e'_i \quad (\text{by parts (b) and (c) of Lemma 2.8}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} (e'_j) e'_i \vee \bigvee_{i=j+1}^{n-1} (e'_j!) (e'_i \Rightarrow e'_j) e'_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigvee_{i=1}^j e'_i \vee \bigvee_{i=j+1}^{n-1} e_j! (e'_i \Rightarrow e'_j) e'_i, (e'_i \leq e'_j \leq e'_j! \text{ for } i \leq j) \\
&= e'_j \vee \bigvee_{i=j+1}^{n-1} (e'_j)! (e'_i \Rightarrow e'_j) e'_i = e'_j.
\end{aligned}$$

(4) We prove h is a lattice homomorphism, i.e. $h(x \vee y) = h(x) \vee h(y)$ and $h(xy) = h(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in P$.

This easy proof is omitted.

(5) To prove h is one-to-one, Let $x, y \in P$, $x = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(x) e_i$, $y = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i(y) e_i$ and $h(x) = h(y)$. Hence, $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D'_i(h(x)) e'_i = h(x) = h(y) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D'_i(h(y)) e'_i$. Since the representation is unique, $D'_i(h(x)) = D'_i(h(y))$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, (2) implies that $h_0(D_i(x)) = D'_i(h(x)) = D'_i(h(y)) = h_0(D_i(y))$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and consequently $D_i(x) = D_i(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, $x = y$.

(6) To prove h is onto, let $y = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D'_i(y) e'_i$, be monotonic representation of $y \in P$. Let $x = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0^{-1}(D'_i(y)) e_i$. Since h_0 is an automorphism, $x = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0^{-1}(D'_i(y)) e_i$ is a monotonic representation of x . Hence $h(x) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(D_i(x)) e'_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} h_0(h_0^{-1}(D'_i(y))) e'_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n-1} D'_i(y) e'_i = y$.

(7) We shall prove that $h(x \Rightarrow y) = h(x) \Rightarrow h(y)$ for all $x, y \in P$. Let $b = h(x) \Rightarrow h(y)$, hence $bh(x) \leq h(y)$ which implies $h_0^{-1}(b)x \leq y$ and this implies $h_0^{-1}(b) \leq x \Rightarrow y$. Hence, $b \leq h_0(x \Rightarrow y) = h(x \Rightarrow y)$ (by (1)). Hence, $h(x) \Rightarrow h(y) \leq h(x \Rightarrow y)$. Now, $h(x)h(x \Rightarrow y) = h(x(x \Rightarrow y)) \leq h(y)$. Hence, $h(x \Rightarrow y) \leq h(x) \Rightarrow h(y)$ and (7) is proved. Thus we have proved that h is P_0P -lattice automorphism (Definition 2.1).

The converse follows by Lemma 2.8. \square

Remark 2.3. Let A be the set of all atoms in a finite P_0P -lattice $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ with $|A|$ (the order of A) = m . Let $s_i = |Se_i|$, $p_{ikj} = \left| P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right|$, $i = 2, \dots, n-1$; $k = i, \dots, n-2$; $j = 1, \dots, 2^{k-i}$. Then

using Remark 2.1 (vi), $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(s_i + \sum_{k=i}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} p_{ikj} \right) \right)$. Some of the numbers s_i, p_{ikj} may be zero.

Remark 2.4. It is well-known that if A is a set of m elements (m is finite), then the number of permutations on A that leave a set $S \subset A$ of r elements ($r < m$) fixed is $r!(m-r)!$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a P_0P -lattices of order n , with the center $B \approx 2^m$, where m is finite. Then the number of automorphisms of P that leave the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed is $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=i}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} (p_{ikj})!(s_i)!$, where

$$s_i = |Se_i|, \text{ and } p_{ikj} = \left| P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right|, \quad i = 1 \dots, n-2; \quad k = i \dots, n-2; \\ j = 1 \dots, 2^{k-i}.$$

Proof. Let A be the set of all atoms of B . Hence $|A| = m$. By Remark 2.3, $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(s_i + \sum_{k=i}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} p_{ikj} \right) \right)$, where $s_i = |Se_i|$, and $p_{ikj} = \left| P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)} \right|$, $i = 1 \dots, n-2; k = i \dots, n-2; j = 1 \dots, 2^{k-i}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, the only automorphisms of B that can be extended to automorphisms of P leaving the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed are the automorphisms that leave fixed each of the sets Se_i and $P_{e_{ik}}^{s(j)} - Pe_{i(k+1)}$ and $i = 1 \dots, n-2; k = i \dots, n-2; j = 1 \dots, 2^{k-i}$. Hence, the number of automorphisms of P leaving the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed is greater than or equal to: $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=i}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} (p_{ikj})!(s_i)!$. Since the extension of h_0 is unique, Theorem 2.1

implies that the number is exactly equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \prod_{k=i}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k-i}} (p_{ikj})!(s_i)!$. \square

Corollary 2. Let $P = \langle B, e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ be a P_0P -lattices of order n , with the center $B \approx 2^m$, where m is finite. Let the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} satisfy the condition:

(if a is an atom of B and $0 \neq ae_i$, then $0 \neq ae_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-2$).

Then the number of automorphisms of P that leave the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed

$$\text{is } \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} (p_{kj})!(s_1)!(d_1)!, \quad k = 1 \dots, n-2; \quad j = 1 \dots, 2^{k-1} \text{ where } s_1 = |Se_1|, \\ \text{and } d_1 = |Fe_1| \text{ and } p_{kj} = \left| P_{e_k}^{s(j)} - Pe_{(k+1)} \right|.$$

Proof. It is clear that if the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} satisfies the above condition, then $Fe_1 = Fe_2 = \dots = Fe_n$, $Se_2 = \dots = Se_{n-2} = \phi$, and i takes only the value 1. Hence, using Remark 2.1 (VI), A has the partition

$$A = Se_1 \cup Fe_1 \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-2} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} \left(P_{e_k}^{s(j)} - Pe_{(k+1)} \right) \right),$$

where some of the terms may be empty. Hence, $m = s_1 + d_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} (p_{kj}) \right)$, where $s_1 = |Se_1|$, and $d_1 = |Fe_1|$ and $p_{kj} = \left| P_{e_k}^{s(j)} - Pe_{(k+1)} \right|$, $k = 1 \dots, n-2$; $j = 1 \dots, 2^{k-1}$. Hence, by theorem 2.2 the number of automorphisms of P that leave the chain e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} fixed is $\prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \prod_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} (p_{kj})! (s_1)! (d_1)!$.

It is clear that Corollary 2.2 is exactly Theorem 2.2 of [5]. □

REFERENCES

1. G. Epstein, A. Horn: P-agerbras, an abstraction from Post algebra, *Algebra Universalis* 4(1974), 195 - 206.
2. G. Epstein, A. Horn: Chain based lattices, *Pacific J. Math*, 55(1975), 65 - 84.
3. J. Klukkowski, M. Zworski: On the representation of Po-lattices being P-algebras, *Demonstratio Math.* 18(1985), 103 - 113.
4. H. Rasiowa: *An algebraic approach to non-classical logics*, North Holland Publishing company, Amsterdam, 1974.
5. K. I. Tabash: Automorphisms of PoP-lattices with Distinguished Chain Base, *Demonstratio Math.* Vol. 23 No. 4 (1990) 829 - 840.
6. R. Balabes and Ph. Dwinger: *Distributive lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, Missouri 1974.
7. T. Traeysk: Axioms and some properties of Post-Algebras, *Colloq. Math.* 10(1963), 193 - 209.

Department of Mathematics
 Islamic University of Gaza
 P.O. Box 108, Gaza

Date received September 4, 1999.